

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES DEFARIMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/944,553 08/30/2001 Michael L. Frank 10010472-1 3086 7590 05/07/2003 **AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES EXAMINER** Legal Department, 51U-PD NGUYEN, KHAI M Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 58043 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Santa Clara, CA 96062-8043

2819

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •  | <b>—</b>   | Application to.  | App.@can.(s)  | V     |
|--|--|--|---|-------|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 09/944,553   | FRANK, MICHAEL L.   | 1     |
|  |  | Examin r   | Art Unit  |       |
| •  |  | Khai M. Nguyen   | 2819  |       |
| The<br>Period for Re   | MAILING DATE of this communication apports   | ars on the cov r she t with the  | correspondence address  |       |
| THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply red | ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period voly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute served by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir<br>y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>, cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed<br>ys will be considered timely.<br>n the mailing date of this communication.<br>ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |       |
| 1)⊠ Res  | ponsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A  | <u> April 2003</u> .   |   |       |
| 2a)☐ This  | s action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th   | is action is non-final.  |   |       |
| 3) Since Close Close Disposition of  | ce this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under f Claims   | ance except for formal matters, p<br>Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4  | rosecution as to the merits is<br>453 O.G. 213.   | \$    |
| •  | n(s) <u>1,2 and 4-21</u> is/are pending in the ap  | oplication.  |   |       |
| •  | of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  |  |   |       |
| •  | n(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |       |
| <i>,</i> —   | n(s) <u>1,2 and 4-21</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |       |
|  | n(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |       |
|  | n(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.  |   |       |
| Application P  | •  | •  |   |       |
| 9)☐ The s  | pecification is objected to by the Examine   | r.   |   |       |
| 10) ☐ The d  | rawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep  | oted or b) objected to by the Exa  | aminer.   |       |
| Арр  | olicant may not request that any objection to the  | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S  | See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |       |
| 11) The p  | roposed drawing correction filed on  | _ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr   | oved by the Examiner.   |       |
| lf a <sub>l</sub>  | oproved, corrected drawings are required in rep  | ply to this Office action.   |   |       |
| 12) The o  | ath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer.  |   |       |
| Priority under   | 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |   |       |
| 13)☐ Ackr  | owledgment is made of a claim for foreigr  | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a   | a)-(d) or (f).  |       |
| a)∐ Ali  | b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  |  |   | •     |
| 1.   | Certified copies of the priority document  | s have been received.  |   |       |
| 2.   | Certified copies of the priority document  | s have been received in Applicat   | ion No  |       |
| 3.□  | Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure attached detailed Office action for a list   | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |       |
|  | wledgment is made of a claim for domesti   | ·  |   | nn)   |
| <i>,</i> —   | The translation of the foreign language pro  |  |   | ,,,,. |
| 15) Ackno  | owledgment is made of a claim for domest   |  |   |       |
| Attachment(s)  | ( , , , , , , , o', , d (DTC 200)  | ∧ □ 1 <u>-4</u> i •  | ni (BTO 413) Banar Na/a)  |       |
| 2) Notice of Di  | eferences Cited (PTO-892)<br>raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _   | 5) Notice of Informal  | ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)   |       |
| .S. Patent and Trademar  | k Office   |  | Part of Paper No. 12  |       |

Application/Control Number: 09/944,553

Art Unit: 2819

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Argum nts

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gu (US 5,697,088). Gu discloses a component (600) having a balun and filter, which are integrated or combined together to form a single component (see Fig. 8 and column 4, lines 35-40) (Note: "balun" is a term used as an abbreviation for a "balanced-to-unbalanced" converter or vice versa).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,553

Art Unit: 2819

5. Claims 4-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gu (US 5,697,088) in view of Frank et al. (US 6,542,055).

Regarding claims 4-12, 16, and 20-21 Gu discloses the component of the claimed invention. Gu only lacks that the filter is implemented as/with: a single-ended input and singled-ended output filter, or a differential inputs and differential outputs, or a resonator-based filter, or a half-ladder resonator-based filter, or one of a full-ladder resonator-based filter and a lattice resonator-based filter, or lumped elements that include inductors and capacitors, or film bulk acoustic resonators (FBARs), or a surface acoustic wave (SAW) technology.

Frank et al. discloses (see various figures) various implementation of the claimed filter. Therefore, the inclusion of the filter that taught by Frank et al. in Gu would have been obvious because the filter implemented with the balun as a single component, for reducing the system cost, may be used to remove/reduce noise or to pass only a certain frequency.

6. Regarding claims 13-15 & 17-19, Gu discloses a component (600) having a balun and filter, which are integrated or combined together to form a single component (see Fig. 8 and column 4, lines 35-40). Gu only lacks to show/draw the component (600) having different configurations or arrangements of the sub-components, filter and balun. It would have been obvious to one person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify or arrange the sub-components such that the single component (600) to convert and filter a signal from one form to another form because such an implementation will reduce the chip area, since it has been held that

Application/Control Number: 09/944,553

Art Unit: 2819

09/944,553 Page 4

rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86

**USPQ 70.** 

**Prior Art** 

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclose. Please see the PTO-892 attached form and Note US 6,542,055 -

Integrated Filter Balun and GB 2 243 038 A - Balance-Unbalance Interface, Fig. 1.

**Contact Information** 

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Khai M. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-605-

4244. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael J Tokar can be reached on 703-305-3493. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7724

for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

6789.

KN

May 1, 2003

Michael Tokar

Michel 5 token

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800